arXiv:1403.5359v1 [math.NT] 21 Mar 2014

BOUNDED EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF SPECIAL SUBVARIETIES II

KE CHEN

CONTENTS

References 1
introduction 2
1. lower bound of the Galois orbit of a special subvariety 2
2. bounded sequence and bounded Galois orbits 8

REFERENCES

[1] Y. André, Six lectures on Shimura varieties, subvarieties and CM points, lectures in *Franco-Taiwan Arithmetic Festival 2001*, cf. http://www.math.purdue.edu/jyu/notes.andre2001.ps
[2] W. Baily and A. Borel, Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains, *Annals of Mathematics* 84(2), 442-528, 1966
[3] D. Bertrand, Minimal heights and polarizations on abelian varieties, MSRI preprint 06220-87, Berkeley,1987
[4] K. Chen, Special subvarieties of mixed Shimura varieties, Ph.D thesis 2009, Université Paris-Sud XI, 2009
[5] K. Chen, On special subvarieties of Kuga varieties, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 274, 821-839, 2013
[6] K. Chen, Bounded equidistribution of special subvarieties I, preprint, arXiv:1403.2157
[7] L. Clozel and E. Ullmo, Équidistribution des sous-variétés spéciales, *Annals of Mathematics* 161(2), 1571-1588, 2005
[8] B. Conrad, Finiteness of class number of algebraic groups over global function fields, to appear in *Compositio Mathematica*
[9] P. Deligne, Variété des de Shimura: interpretation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques, in *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics* Vol. 33, Part 2, pp.247-290, AMS, 1970
[10] B. Edixhoven and A.Yafaev, Subvarieties of Shimura varieties, *Annals of Mathematics* 157(2), 621-645, 2003
[11] B. Klingler and A. Yafaev, On the André-Oort conjecture, to appear in *Annals of Mathematics*
[12] B. Moonen, Linearity properties of Shimura varieties II, *Compositio Mathematica* 114(1), 3-35, 1998
[13] S. Mozes and N. Shah, On the space of ergodic invariant measures of unipotent flows, *Ergodic theory and Dynamical Systems* 15(1), 149-159, 1995
[14] F. Oort, Canonical liftings and dense sets of CM-points, in *Arithmetic geometry (Cortona 1994)*, pp. 228-234, Symposia Mathematica, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997
[15] J. Pila, O-minimality and the André-Oort conjecture for $\mathbb{C}^n$, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), 173(3), 1779-1840, 2011
[16] R. Pink, Arithmetical compactification of mixed Shimura varieties, *Bonner Mathematische Schriften* vol. 209
[17] R. Pink, A combination of the conjectures of Mordell-Lang and André-Oort, in *Geometric methods in algebra and number theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol.253, pp.251-282, 2005
[18] N. Ratazzi and E. Ullmo, Galois + Equidistribution = Manin-Mumford, in *Arithmetic geometry*, pp. 419-430, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 8, AMS, 2009
[19] T. Scanlon, Local André-Oort conjecture for the universal abelian variety, Inventiones mathematicae, 163(1), 191 - 211, 2006

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G35(11G18), Secondary 14K05.
Key words and phrases. Shimura varieties, mixed Shimura varieties varieties, André-Oort conjecture, special subvarieties, Diophantine approximation, equidistribution.

[20] T. Scanlon, A proof of the André-Oort conjecture via mathematical logic, after Pila, Wilkie, and Zannier, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exposé 1037, 2011
[21] E. Ullmo and A. Yafaev, Galois orbits and equidistribution: towards the André-Oort conjecture, with an appendix by P. Gille and L. Moret-Bailey, to appear in Annals of Mathematics
[22] A. Yafaev, A conjecture of Y. André, *Duke Mathematics Journal* 132(3), 393-407, 2006
[23] A. Yafaev, On a result of Moonen on the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties, *Compositio Mathematica*, 141(5), 1103-1108, 2005
[24] A. Yafaev, Galois orbits and equidistribution: Manin-Mumford and André-Oort, *Journal de la théorie des nombres Bordeaux*, 21(2), 493-502, 2009

INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of **??**. In **??** we have studied the equidistribution of bounded sequences of special subvarieties in an arbitrary mixed Shimura variety. In this paper we prove a lower bound formula for pure special subvarieties in a mixed Shimura variety, define the notion of test invariant of a special subvariety which is not necessarily pure, and prove the equidistribution of sequences of special subvarieties with bounded test invariants. Similar to the pure case treated in [21], the lower bound estimation and the equidistribution are established under the GRH for CM fields.

The main results of this paper are:

Theorem 0.1. *Assume GRH for CM fields. Let $M = M_K(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ be a mixed Shimura variety, with $K \subset \mathbf{P}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ a compact open subgroup of fine product type, and E its reflex field. Fix an integer N, Then for M' a pure special subvariety in M defined by $(w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX; wX^+)$, we have*

$$\#\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E) \cdot M' \geq c_N D_N(\mathbf{T}) \prod_{p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))} \max\{1, I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)\}$$

where

- *c_N is some absolute constant, independent of K, M';*
- *$\mathbf{T}$ is the connected center of $\mathbf{G}'$, $D_N(\mathbf{T}) := (\log(D(\mathbf{T})))^N$ with $D(\mathbf{T})$ the absolute discriminant of the splitting field of $\mathbf{T}$;*
- *$K_{\mathbf{G}}(w) = \{g \in K_{\mathbf{G}} : wgw^{-1}g^{-1} \in K_{\mathbf{W}}$ following the notations in* [6]*, and $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ is the set of rational primes such that $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p \subsetneq K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p}$, $K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p}$ being the maximal compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$;*
- *$I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p) = b \cdot [K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p} : \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p]$ with b some absolute constant independent of K, M'.*

For a general special subvariety $M' \subset M$ which is not pure, we introduce the notion of test invariants $\tau_M(M')$ as a substitute for the lower bound of Galois orbits, and we get

Theorem 0.2. *Assume GRH for CM fields. Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ at some level K of fine product type. Let (M_n) be a sequence of special subvarieties in M, such that the sequence of test invariants $(\tau_M(M_n))$ is bounded. Then the sequence (M_n) is bounded by some finite bounding set B in the sense of* [6]*. In particular, the Zariski closure of $\bigcup_n M_n$ is a finite union of special subvarieties bounded by B.*

Note that [21] has formulated their main lower bound via the intersection degree against the automorphic line bundle on pure Shimura varieties, which fits into the framework of [11]. We do not need this step yet in this paper, and we stick to the counting of Galois orbits.

1. LOWER BOUND OF THE GALOIS ORBIT OF A SPECIAL SUBVARIETY

In the pure case, Ullmo and Yafaev proved the following lower bound of Galois orbits of special subvarieties in a pure Shimura variety:

Theorem 1.1 (lower bound in the pure case, cf. [21] Theorem 2.19)**.** *Let $S = M_K(\mathbf{G}, X)$ be a pure Shimura variety with reflex field E, with $K \subset \mathbf{G}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ a level of product type. Assume the GRH for CM fields, and fix an integer $N > 0$. Then for $S' \subset S$ a $\mathbf{T}$-special subvariety, we have*

$$\#\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E) \cdot S' \geq c_N \cdot D_N(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \prod_{p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T},K)} \max\{1, I(\mathbf{T}, K_p)\}$$

with

- $D_N(\mathbf{T}) = (\log D(\mathbf{T}))^N$*, where $D(\mathbf{T})$ is the absolute discriminant of the splitting field of the $\mathbb{Q}$-torus $\mathbf{T}$;*
- $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K)$ *is the set of rational primes p such that $K_{\mathbf{T},p} \subsetneq K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p}$, where*
  - $K_{\mathbf{T},p} = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p$*,*
  - $K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p}$ *the unique maximal compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$*

  $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K)$ *is finite, i.e. $K_{\mathbf{T},p} = K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p}$ for all but finitely many p's.*
- $I(\mathbf{T}, K_p) = b[K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p} : K_{\mathbf{T},p}]$
- *and $c_N, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are constants independent of K, $\mathbf{T}$.*

Remark 1.2 (dependence on levels)**.** (1) The results in [21] was formulated for an ambient pure Shimura variety $M_K(\mathbf{G}, X)$ with $\mathbf{G}$ semi-simple of adjoint type. The $\mathbb{Q}$-torus that appear as the connected centers are compact. In our case, using the condition **??**(e), and taking quotient by the connected center $\mathbf{C}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, we can reduce to the setting of [21], as the contribution of Galois orbits from $\mathbf{C}$ can be removed when we pass from E to some number field splitting $\mathbf{C}$.

(2) The estimation depends on an embedding of $(\mathbf{G}, X)$ into some ambient pure Shimura datum $(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{X})$, and a faithful algebraic representation $\rho : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathbf{GL}_{n\mathbb{Q}}$.

The constants c_N and b are independent of K. This was not mentioned explicitly in [21], but one can verify through their arguments that c_N and b are determined by $(\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{X})$ and ρ. c_N does depend on the prescribed integer N, but any fixed N will suffice.

(3) The quantity $D_N(\mathbf{T})$ is independent of K, while $I(\mathbf{T}, K_p)$ describe the position of $\mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$ relative to K_p. Whether p lies in $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K)$ or not is closely related to the integral structure of $\mathbf{T}$ at p, and is also related to the reduction property of the special subvarieties. See [10] and [22] for details.

(4) The estimation in [21] was formulated using intersection degrees against the ample line bundle of top degree automorphic forms on $S = M_K(\mathbf{G}, X)$. Actually the intersection degree of a single (connected) special subvariety only contributes as a real number greater than 1 in the lower bound. The formulation is used in further study of unbounded orbits in [11].

We can thus consider the lower bound of the Galois orbits of pure special subvariety in a given mixed Shimura variety.

Theorem 1.3 (orbit of a pure special subvariety)**.** *Let $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ be a mixed Shimura subdatum, defining a mixed Shimura variety M at a level K of fine product type. Write E for the reflex field of $(\mathbf{P}, Y)$, and π for the natural projection $M \to S = M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ wite $\iota(0)$ the zero section.*

Let M' be a pure special subvariety of M defined by a subdatum of the form $(w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX')$ for some pure subdatum $(\mathbf{G}', X') \subset (\mathbf{G}, X)$ and $w \in \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then we have the following lower bound assuming the GRH for CM fields, using the same constants c_N, b, and notations in 1.1:

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E) \cdot M' \geq c_N D_N(\mathbf{T}) \prod_{p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))} \max\{1, I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)\}$$

where

- $\mathbf{T}$ *is the connected center of $\mathbf{G}'$, and $D_N(\mathbf{T}) = (\log D(\mathbf{T}))^N$;*

- *$K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$ is the subgroup*

$$\{g \in K_{\mathbf{G}} : wgw^{-1}g^{-1} \in K_{\mathbf{W}}\}$$

and $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p) = b[K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)_p]$ *with* $K_{\mathbf{T}}(w) = K_{\mathbf{G}}(w) \cap \mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Before entering the proof, we first justify some notions in the statement of the theorem:

Lemma 1.4. *In the statement* **??** *above,* $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$ *is a compact open subgroup in* $K_{\mathbf{G},p}$*, and the inclusion* $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p \subset K_{\mathbf{G},p}$ *is an equality for all but finitely many rational primes* p*'s. In particular, the group* $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$ *is a compact open subgroup in* $K_{\mathbf{G}}$ *of fine product type, i.e.* $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w) = \prod_p K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p$*.*

Proof. For all but finitely many p's, we have $w \in \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$ lies in $K_{\mathbf{W},p}$ and $wgw^{-1}g^{-1} \in K_{\mathbf{W},p}$ for $g \in K_{\mathbf{G},p}$.

When $w \notin K_{\mathbf{W},p}$, write $w = (u, v)$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $v \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q})$, then by **??** we have $w^n = (nu, nv)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, hence the subgroup $K'_{\mathbf{W},p}$ generated by w and $K_{\mathbf{W},p}$ is compact and open, containing $K_{\mathbf{W},p}$ as a subgroup of finite index. $K_{\mathbf{G},p}$ stabilizes $K_{\mathbf{W},p}$, hence a compact open subgroup in $K_{\mathbf{G},p}$ of finite index stabilizes $K'_{\mathbf{W},p}$. □

The theorem is reduced to the following

Lemma 1.5 (reflex field)**.** $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ *has the same reflex field as* $(\mathbf{G}, X)$ *does, and the action of* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E)$ *on* $M_{K^w_{\mathbf{G}}}(w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX)$ *is identified with its action on* $M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ *where* $K^w_{\mathbf{G}} := w\mathbf{G}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})w^{-1} \cap K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K_{\mathbf{G}}$*.*

Proof. From the definition of reflex fields [16] Chapter 11, we know that for a morphism of mixed Shimura data $(\mathbf{P}, Y) \to (\mathbf{P}', Y')$ we have $E(\mathbf{P}, Y) \supset E(\mathbf{G}, X)$. Thus $E(\mathbf{P}, Y) = E(\mathbf{G}, X)$ because we have the natural projection and the zero section. Conjugation by $w \in \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$ gives the isomorphism $(\mathbf{G}, X) \cong (w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX)$ as maximal pure subdata of $(\mathbf{P}, Y)$. It also induces an isomorphism of pure Shimura variaties $M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X) \cong M_{wK_{\mathbf{G}}w^{-1}}(w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX)$.

It is easy to verify that $wK_{\mathbf{G}}w^{-1} \cap K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K_{\mathbf{G}} = wK_{\mathbf{G}}(w)w^{-1}$. Therefore when $K_{\mathbf{G}} = K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$, the conjugation by w gives an isomorphism $M_{K \cap w\mathbf{G}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})w^{-1}}(w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX) \cong M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$. In fact, the Hecke translate $M_{wKw^{-1}}(\mathbf{P}, Y) \cong M_K(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ sends the zero section $M_{wK_{\mathbf{G}}w^{-1}}(w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX)$ with respect to $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (w\mathbf{G}w^{-1}, wX)$ to the zero section $M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ with respect to $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$. In particular, for any pure subdatum $(\mathbf{G}', X')$ of $(\mathbf{G}, X)$, the special subvariety defined by $(w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX'; wX'^{+})$ is isomorphic to the one defined by $(\mathbf{G}', X'; X'^{+})$, and the isomorphism respects the canonical models.

Hence the theorem holds trivially when $K_{\mathbf{G}} = K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$. When $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w) \subsetneq K_{\mathbf{G}}$, it suffices to take a base change $f : S' = M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)}(\mathbf{G}, X) \to S = M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ which is a morphism of pure Shimura varieties defined over $E(\mathbf{G}, X)$. The base change is finite étale as we have taken $K_{\mathbf{G}}$ to be neat. It respects the special subvarieties of $M = M_K(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ and of $M_{S'}$ as well as their canonical models, hence the lemma. □

Before we take a closer look at the term $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)$, we introduce the following

Notation 1.6. For $w = (u, v) \in \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $(u, v)^n = (nu, nv)$, hence it makes sense to talk about the order of $w = (u, v)$ with respect to $K_{\mathbf{W}}$: it is the smalles positive integer $m > 0$ such that $w^m \in K_{\mathbf{W}}$, i.e. $mu \in K_{\mathbf{U}}$ and $mv \in K_{\mathbf{V}}$, which makes sense because u and v are in $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mathbb{Q})$ respectively. We can also talk about the p-order of w with respect to $K_{\mathbf{W}}$, namely the integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w^n \in K_{\mathbf{W},p}$ if and only if p^m divides n.

In the lower bound we have the set $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ containing the subset $\delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ of primes p such that $K_{\mathbf{T},p} \supsetneq K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)_p$. We want to show that for $p \in \delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$, the inequality

$$[K^{\max}_{\mathbf{T},p} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)p] \geq c \cdot \mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})$$

holds for some absolute constant c independent of $K, w, \mathbf{T}$. This is clear when $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ acts on $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ by scaling $g(u,v) = (gu, gv)$ using the central cocharacters $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{U}}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{V}}$. In fact, for the action on $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $K_{\mathbf{T},p}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ stabilizing $K_{\mathbf{U},p}$, contained in the maximal compact open subgroup $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} \cong {\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\times}$, and $K_{\mathbf{T}}(u)_p$ is the stabilizer of the class u modulo $K_{\mathbf{U},p}$. Since the automorphism by $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} \cong {\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\times}$ preserves the torsion order in $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K_{\mathbf{U},p}$ and leaves the line $\mathbb{Q}_p u$ stable, we see that

$$[K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(u)_p] \geq (p-1)p^{m-1}$$

because $(p-1)p^{m-1}$ is the number of elements of order p^m in $\mathbb{Q}_p u$ modulo $K_{\mathbf{U},p}$. The case of $\mathbf{T}$ acting on $\mathbf{V}$ is similar under our assumption $\mathbf{T} \cong \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$, and it is obvious that

$$\mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}}) = \max\{\mathrm{ord}_p(u, K_{\mathbf{U}}), \mathrm{ord}_p(v, K_{\mathbf{V}})\}$$

hence

$$[K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)_p] \geq (1 - \frac{1}{p})\mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}}).$$

In general, the $\mathbb{Q}$-torus does admit quotients isomorphic to split $\mathbb{Q}$-tori:

Lemma 1.7 (split tori)**.** *Let $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ be a mixed Shimura datum, with $\mathbf{T}$ the connected center of $\mathbf{G}$. Then for the actions of $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbf{U}$ and on $\mathbf{V}$,*

(1) there is a $\mathbf{T}$-equivariant decomposition $\mathbf{U} = \oplus_{i=1,\cdots,r}\mathbf{U}_i$ such that $\mathbf{T}$ acts on $\mathbf{U}_i$ via the central scaling $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{U}_i}$;

(2) there exists a $\mathbf{T}$-equivariant decomposition $\mathbf{V} = \oplus_{j=1\cdots,s}\mathbf{V}_j$ such that in the representation $\mathbf{T} \to \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{V}_j}$, the image of $\mathbf{T}$ contains the center of $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{V}_j}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{T}$ being reductive, it suffices to consider the case when $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are irreducible as representations of $\mathbf{G}$.

(1) This is clear because by [16] 2.16, $\mathbf{G}$, hence $\mathbf{T}$, acts on $\mathbf{U}$ through a split $\mathbb{Q}$-torus, hence the irreducible representation $\mathbf{U}$ is one-dimensional. Since $\mathbf{U}$ is of Hodge type $(-1,-1)$, the action of $\mathbf{G}$, hence the action of $\mathbf{T}$ on it is through the central scaling.

(2) $\rho : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{V}}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{G}$, such that for any $x \in X$, the composition $\rho \circ x$ is a rational Hodge structure of type $\{(-1,0),(0,-1)\}$. It thus follows from the definition of pure Shimura data [16] 1. ?.? that the Hodge structure is polarizable, namely $\mathbf{G}$ preserves some polarization $\psi : \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \to \mathbb{Q}(-1)$ up to scalars, hence the representation factors through the Siegel datum, i.e. $(\mathbf{G}, X) \to (\mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbf{V}}, \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{V}})$.

It suffices to show that the image $\mathbf{G} \to \mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbf{V}}$ contains the center of $\mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbf{V}}$. If it does not contains the center, then it is contained in $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{V}} = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbf{V}} \xrightarrow{\det} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})$. The construction in [21] ?.? shows that $(\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{V}}, X')$ is a pure Shimura subdatum of $(\mathrm{GSp}_{\mathbf{V}}, \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{V}})$ with X' the $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{R})$-orbit of the image of X in $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$, which is ridiculous because $x(\mathbb{S}) \notin \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbf{V},\mathbb{R}}$ for any $x \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{V}}$ due to the $\mathbb{R}$-torus $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{S}$. □

We are thus led to

Proposition 1.8 (torsion order)**.** *For $p \in \delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ as above, we have $[K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)_p] \geq cp^{\mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})}$ for some constant c which is independent of $K, w, \mathbf{T}$.*

Proof. Since the number of irreducible representations in $\mathbf{U}$ (resp. in $\mathbf{V}$) is uniformly bounded by the dimension of $\mathbf{U}$ (resp. of $\mathbf{V}$), we are reduced to the case when $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are irreducible under $\mathbf{G}'$.

Thus $\mathbf{U}$ is one-dimensional, with $\mathbf{T}$ acts on it through the central scaling $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \cong \mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{U}}$. It suffices to show that for each prime p, the homomorphism $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ sends $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max}$ to a compact open subgroup of ${\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\times}$ whose index in ${\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\times}$ is uniformly bounded.

Recall that the splitting field $F = F_{\mathbf{T}}$ is a number field, and $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is uniformly bounded by some constant c_1 that only depends on the dimension of $\mathbf{G}$; in particular, we can rearrange $c_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that for any connected center $\mathbf{T}$ of pure Shimura subdatum $(\mathbf{G}', X')$ of $(\mathbf{G}, X)$, $[F_{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbb{Q}]$ divides c_1 and $[F_{\mathbf{T}}(p) : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ divides c_1 with $F_{\mathbf{T}}(p)$ the splitting field of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-torus $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Fix p a prime, F the splitting field of $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Write X for the group of characters $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{T}_F, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}F})$ with the natural action of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then $\mathbf{T}(F) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{X}, F^\times)$, and $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathrm{X}, F^\times)$ is the Γ-fixed part of $\mathbf{T}(F)$. The maximal compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{T}(F)$ is $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{T}}, O_F^\times)$ with O_F the integer ring of F, and the norm map $\mathrm{Nm} : \mathbf{T}(F) \to \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ sends $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{X}, O_F^\times)$ into the maximal compact open subgroup $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max}$ of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

From 1.7 we have homomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-tori $\mathbf{T} \to {\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^d$, where ${\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^d$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-subtorus in $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{U}}$ (or $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbf{V}}$) that acts as central scaling on direct summands of $\mathbf{U}$ (or on $\mathbf{V}$). The condition on Hodge types show that for the corresponding map of characters $\mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathrm{X}$, the image of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is of index at most 2 in a direct summand of X on which Γ acts trivially.

Now consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{X}, O_F^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^d, O_F^\times) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Nm}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Nm}} \\ K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^d, {\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are induced from the norm $\mathrm{Nm} : F^\times \to {\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$, the horizontal maps are induced by $\mathbf{T} \to {\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}^d$, and the image of the upper horizontal map is of index at most 2^d. Since the degree $[{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times : \mathrm{Nm}(O_F^\times)] \leq [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ by local class field theory, we see that the image of the lower horizontal map $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^r, {\mathbb{Z}_p}^\times)$ is of finite index, and the index is bounded by a constant that only depends on c_1 and the dimension of $\mathbf{T}$. □

We summarize the above computation into the following

Corollary 1.9 (unipotent defects)**.** *There is some constant c, independent of c, K, w, such that in the expression $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)$ in 1.3, we have $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p) \geq cp^{\mathrm{ord}_p(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})}$ for $p \in \delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$.*

For $p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ such that $K_{\mathbf{T},p}^{\max} \supsetneq K_{\mathbf{T},p} = K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)_p$, we still have $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p) \geq cp$ by [21] *?.?* .

As we have mentioned in **??**, for a subdatum $(\mathbf{P}', Y') = \mathbf{W}' \rtimes (w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX')$, the choice of w is unique up to translation by $\mathbf{W}'(\mathbb{Q})$. In this case, we have:

Corollary 1.10. *Let M' be a special subvariety defined by a subdatum $(\mathbf{P}', Y'; Y'^+) = \mathbf{W}' \rtimes (w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX'; wX'^+)$. Then the inferium*

$$\inf_{w' \in \mathbf{W}'(\mathbb{Q})w} \prod_{p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))} \max\{1, bI(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)\}$$

is reached at some $w' \in \mathbf{W}'(\mathbb{Q})w$.

Proof. We first note that the representation of $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbf{V}$ (resp. on $\mathbf{U}$) does not admit any trivial subrepresentation. Otherwise we have some $\mathbb{Q}$-subspace $\mathbf{V}' \subset \mathbf{V}$ stabilized by $\mathbf{T}$ and by $\mathbf{G}'^{\mathrm{der}}$ because they commute with each other, hence

Write $w = (u, v)$ and $w' = (u', v')(u, v) = (u + u' + \psi(v', v), v' + v)$ for $(u', v') \in \mathbf{W}'(\mathbb{Q})$, and $\mathrm{ord}_p(u', K_{\mathbf{U}})$ resp. $\mathrm{ord}_p(v', K_{\mathbf{V}})$ for the p-order of u' with respect to $K_{\mathbf{U}}$ resp. of v' with respect to $K_{\mathbf{V}}$.

We have $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w')_p) \geq cp^m$ with $m = \max\{\mathrm{ord}_p(u', \mathrm{ord}_p(u', K_{\mathbf{U}})), \mathrm{ord}_p(v', K_{\mathbf{V}})\}$ for $p \in \delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$. $K_{\mathbf{T},p}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ stabilizing $K_{\mathbf{U},p}$ and $K\mathbf{V}, p$, hence $K_{\mathbf{T}}(w')_p \subsetneq K_{\mathbf{T},p}$ when either $\mathrm{ord}_p(u', K_{\mathbf{U}})$ or $\mathrm{ord}_p(v', K_{\mathbf{V}})$ are large. Combining with the estimation in 1.9, we see that the inferium is reached for some w' such that $\mathrm{ord}_p(w', K_{\mathbf{W}})$ is small. □

For convenience we introduce the following:

Definition 1.11. Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ at some level K of fine product type. For M' a special subvariety defined by $(\mathbf{P}', Y'; Y'^+) = \mathbf{W}' \rtimes (w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX'; wX'^+)$, we define the test invariant of M' in M to be

$$\tau_M(M') := D(\mathbf{T}) \min_{w' \in \mathbf{W}'(\mathbb{Q})w} \prod_{p \in \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))} \max\{1, b \cdot I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)\}$$

where $\mathbf{T}$ is the connected center of $\mathbf{G}'$, $D(\mathbf{T})$ is the absolute discriminant of the splitting field of $\mathbf{T}$, and the minimum makes sense by the corollary above.

It is actually independent of the choice of subdata defining M': by **??**, if we pass to a second defining subdatum $(\mathbf{P}'', Y''; Y''^+)$, then its image under the natural projection is a pure subdatum $(\mathbf{G}'', X''; X''^+)$ of $(\mathbf{G}, X; X^+)$ with $\mathbf{G}'' = \gamma\mathbf{G}'\gamma^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$, and its connected center is $\gamma\mathbf{T}\gamma^{-1}$, hence the absolute discriminant remains unchanged; the element w could be replaced by a $\Gamma_{\mathbf{W}}$-translation, which again leaves the set $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$ and the quantities $I(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)_p)$ unchanged.

In particular, when M' is a pure special subvariety, then it is defined by some pure subdatum $(w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX'; wX'^+)$ with w unique up to translation by $\Gamma_{\mathbf{W}}$. Different choices of w gives the same value of the test invariant, and we remove the minimum in this case.

We can thus transform the bounded equidistribution in Section 3 into:

Proposition 1.12 (bounded test invariants)**.** *Assume GRH for CM fields. Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ at some level K of fine product type, with E its reflex field. Then a sequence (M_n) of special subvarieties is bounded in the sense of* **??** *if and only if its sequence of associated sequence of test invariants $(\tau_M(M_n))$ is bounded, i.e. $t(M_n) \leq C$ for all n with $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ some constant.*

Proof. When the sequence is bounded by some $B = \{(\mathbf{T}_1, w_1), \cdots, (\mathbf{T}_r, w_r)\}$ then only finitely many values appear as test invariants of the sequence.

Conversely, assume that we are given a sequence of special subvarieties with test invariants uniformly bounded by some $C > 0$. The natural projection $M = M_K(\mathbf{P}, Y) \to S = M_{K_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ sends (M_n) to a sequence of pure special subvarieties (S_n) in S. If M_n is $(\mathbf{T}_n, w_n)$-special, defined by some subdatum $(\mathbf{P}_n, Y_n; Y_n^+) = \mathbf{W}_n \rtimes (w_n\mathbf{G}_n w_n^{-1}, w_n X_n; w_n X_n^+)$ with $\mathbf{T}_n$ the connected center of $\mathbf{G}_n$ and w_n chosen so that the minimum in the definition of test invariants of M_n is reached at w_n.

Then S_n is a $\mathbf{T}_n$-special subvariety of S. From the definition of test invariants we have $\tau_S(S_n) \leq \tau_M(M_n)$ because the two invariants involve the same $\mathbb{Q}$-torus $\mathbf{T}_n$, and for the sets of primes of defects we have $\Delta(\mathbf{T}_n, K_{\mathbf{G}}) \subset \Delta(\mathbf{T}_n, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w_n))$. Now that (S_n) is a sequence with bounded test invariants, we may apply [21] ?.? under GRH for CM fields, which implies the existence of a finite set of $\mathbb{Q}$-tori $\{\mathbf{C}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{C}_r\}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ such that each S_n is $\mathbf{C}_i$-special for some i (and it is clear that $\mathbf{T}_n$ is conjugate to $\mathbf{C}_i$ by some $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}$). We may thus assume that $\{\mathbf{T}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mathbf{C}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{C}_r\}$.

Therefore only finitely many values arise as $D(\mathbf{T}_n)$ in the test invariants $\tau_M(M_n)$, and by the assumption we see that the sequence

$$\prod_{p\in\Delta(\mathbf{T}_n,K_{\mathbf{G}}(w_n))} I(\mathbf{T}_n, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w_n)_p)$$

is also uniformly bounded, hence by 1.8 and **??** the classes of w_n's modulo $\Gamma_{\mathbf{W}}$ is finite, which means that the sequence (M_n) is bounded. □

2. BOUNDED SEQUENCE AND BOUNDED GALOIS ORBITS

Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y; Y^+) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ at level $K = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K_{\mathbf{G}}$. If M' is a special subvariety defined by $(\mathbf{P}', Y'; Y'^+) = \mathbf{W}' \rtimes (\mathbf{G}'X'; X'^+)$, then it contains the maximal special subvariety S' defined by $(\mathbf{G}', X'; X'^+)$, which is a section to the natural projection $M' \to S' \subset S$, and they have the same field of definition. In particular, the Galois conjugates of M' are in natural bijection with those of S', and in this case we have $\tau_M(M') = \tau_S(S')$, as M' is $(\mathbf{T}, 0)$-special, $\mathbf{T}$ being the connected center of $\mathbf{G}'$.

In general we do not have an explicit way to describe the Galois conjugates of M' defined by $(\mathbf{P}', Y'; Y'^+) = \mathbf{W}' \rtimes (w\mathbf{G}'w^{-1}, wX'; wX'^+)$ by the conjugates of some maximal pure special subvariety of it, unless we know a priori that $K_{\mathbf{G}} = K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$. To remedy this we have the following two potential estimate:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume GRH for CM fields. Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ at some level $K = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K_{\mathbf{G}}$ of fine product type, with E its reflex field. Let (M_n) be a sequence of special subvarieties defined by $(\mathbf{P}_n, Y_n; Y_n^+) = \mathbf{W}_n \rtimes (w_n\mathbf{G}_n w_n^{-1}, w_nX_n; w_nX_n^+)$. If the sequence of test invariants $(\tau_M(M_n))$ is bounded, then there exists some compact open subgroup $K'_{\mathbf{G}} \subset K_{\mathbf{G}}$ of fine product type such that when we pass to $M' = M_{K'}(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ for the level $K' = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K'_{\mathbf{G}}$, the sequence (M'_n) with M'_n defined by $(\mathbf{P}_n, Y_n; Y_n^+)$ is of bounded Galois orbits, satisfying*

$$\#\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E)\cdot M'_n \geq c_N D_N(\mathbf{T}_n) \prod_{p\in\Delta(\mathbf{T}_n,K'_{\mathbf{G}}(w'_n))} \max\{1, I(\mathbf{T}_n, K'_{\mathbf{G}}(w'_n)_p)$$

where $\mathbf{T}_n$ is the connected center of $\mathbf{G}_n$ and $w'_n \in \mathbf{W}_n(\mathbb{Q})w_n$.

Proof. By 1.12, the sequence (M_n) is bounded, namely we can choose the defining subdata to be $(\mathbf{P}_n, Y_n; Y_n^+) = \mathbf{W}_n \rtimes (w_n\mathbf{G}_n w_n^{-1}, w_nX_n; w_nX_n^+)$, which are bounded by some finite set $B = \{(\mathbf{T}_\alpha, w_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$: $\mathbf{G}_n$ is of connected center $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ and $w_n = w_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in A$ depending on n.

We thus take $K'_{\mathbf{G}} = \bigcap_{\alpha\in A} K_{\mathbf{G}}(w_\alpha)$, and consider the mixed Shimura variety $M' = M_{K'}(\mathbf{P}, Y)$ with $K' = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K'_{\mathbf{G}}$. Now that $K'_{\mathbf{G}} = K'_{\mathbf{G}}(w_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$, we have

$$K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K'_{\mathbf{G}} = w_\alpha(K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K'_{\mathbf{G}})w_\alpha^{-1} = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes w_\alpha K'_{\mathbf{G}} w_\alpha^{-1}, \forall \alpha \in A$$

and $K' \cap w_\alpha\mathbf{G}w_\alpha^{-1}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}}) = w_\alpha K'_{\mathbf{G}} w_\alpha^{-1}$, $\forall \alpha \in A$. In particular, the natural projection $\pi : M' = M_{K'}(\mathbf{P}, Y) \to S' = M_{K'_{\mathbf{G}}}(\mathbf{G}, X)$ has more pure sections than the one given by $(\mathbf{G}, X) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{P}, Y)$: for each $\alpha \in A$ we have $(\mathbf{G}, X) \cong (w_\alpha\mathbf{G}w_\alpha^{-1}, w_\alpha X) \subset (\mathbf{P}, Y)$, and the pure section it defines is

$$S'(w_\alpha) := M_{w_\alpha K'_{\mathbf{G}} w_\alpha}(w_\alpha\mathbf{G}w_\alpha, w_\alpha X) \hookrightarrow M'$$

which is isomorphic to $S'(0) := S'$ using the Hecke translate by w_α, i.e. $M' \to M'$, $[x, aK'] \mapsto [x, aw_\alpha K']$ because $w_\alpha K' w_\alpha^{-1} = K'$.

Therefore the Galois conjugates of pure special subvarieties in $S'(0)$ and in $S'(w_\alpha)$ are the same using the Hecke translate, and the Galois orbits of M'_n is in bijection with the conjugates of its pure section $M'_n \cap S'(w_\alpha)$, as long as the original M_n is $(\mathbf{T}_\alpha, w_\alpha)$-special. □

The propositions above justify our use of test invariants as a substitute of the lower bound for the Galois orbit of a general special subvariety: it is "potentially" the correct one when we work with any bounded sequence of special subvarieties.

We also mention the following fact, as a complement to the notion of bounded sequences:

Lemma 2.2 (upper bound)**.** *Let M be a mixed Shimura variety defined by $(\mathbf{P}, Y) = \mathbf{W} \rtimes (\mathbf{G}, X)$ with reflex field E at some level $K = K_{\mathbf{W}} \rtimes K_{\mathbf{G}}$ of fine product type. Let M' be a $(\mathbf{T}, w)$-special subvariety of M. Then we have an upper bound*

$$\#\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E) \cdot M' \leq c_0 \cdot C(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}})\mathrm{ord}(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})^d$$

where

- *$c_0 > 0$ is some constant that only depends on $\dim \mathbf{G}$;*
- *$C(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}})$ is the class number $\#\mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})/\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})K_{\mathbf{T}}$;*
- *$\mathrm{ord}(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})$ is the order of the class w in the sense of , and d is the square of the dimension of $\mathbf{W}$.*

In particular, a sequence of special subvarieties bounded by some finite set $B = \{(\mathbf{T}, w)\}$ is of uniformly bounded Galois orbits.

Proof. We first consider the case when $w = 0$, which is the same as the case of a $\mathbf{T}$-special pure subvariety S' in a given pure Shimura variety $S = M_K(\mathbf{G}, X)$. It suffices to consider the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E')$-orbit of S' in S, with E' the reflex field of the subdatum defining S', because $[E' : E]$ is bounded by some constant that only depends on $\dim \mathbf{G}$.

The size of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E') \cdot S'$ is the size of the image of the reciprocity map describing the Galois action permuting connected components $\mathrm{rec}_{(\mathbf{G}', X')} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E') \to \overline{\pi}_\circ(\mathbf{G}')/K_{\mathbf{G}'}$, which is reduced , up to some absolute constant that only depends on $\dim \mathbf{G}$, to the image of $\mathrm{rec}^N_{(\mathbf{G}', X')} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/E') \to \mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})/\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})K_{\mathbf{T}}$, $a \mapsto (\mathrm{rec}_{(\mathbf{G}', X')}(a))^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ being some absolute constant. Hence the image is bounded by the class number $\mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbb{Q}})/\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})K_{\mathbf{T}}$ up to some constant c_0 that only depends on $\dim \mathbf{G}$.

When $w \neq 0$, it suffices to shrink $K_{\mathbf{G}}$ to $K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)$ and replace $C(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}})$ by $C(\mathbf{T}, K_{\mathbf{G}}(w))$. But

$$[K_{\mathbf{T}} : K_{\mathbf{T}}(w)] \leq [K_{\mathbf{G}} : K_{\mathbf{G}}(w)] \leq \#(\mathrm{Aut}(K_{\mathbf{U}}[w]/K_{\mathbf{U}}) \times \mathrm{Aut}(K_{\mathbf{V}}[w]/K_{\mathbf{V}}))$$

which is bounded by $\mathrm{ord}(w, K_{\mathbf{W}})^d$ as is desired. □

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, 230026 HEFEI, ANHUI PROVINCE, CHINA

E-mail address: kechen@ustc.edu.cn